Make your builds more manageable, maintainable, and understandable

Douglas Bullard Nike, Inc. 2/19/2008

Topics covered in this presentation

- Patterns
- Antipatterns
- Techniques
- Putting it all together: Designing master/project build scripts

"I suffered for this, now it's your turn"

George Harrison, "I, Me, Mine"

Common problems with Ant build scripts:

- Many scripts are complicated, hard to understand
 - Old scripts are never upgraded
 - Workarounds for limitations in older versions of Ant made obsolete by new Ant tasks
- Little or no reuse within or across projects
 - Every script is different, every script is new
 - Differences between scripts can be confusing to developers
- Difficult to debug
- Impossible to tell what versions of libraries used
- Difficult to upgrade to new versions of Ant

Goals of writing better Ant scripts:

- Standardize build scripts
- Maximize reuse of code within the project
- Maximize reuse of code across projects
- Improve readability
- Improve productivity
- Limit number of visible targets to minimize confusion
- Allow easier upgrading to new versions of Ant

Patterns

Pattern: Reuse code with <macrodef>

- One of the most powerful ways of reusing Ant code is the proper use of <macrodef>
- Macrodefs allow you to define a "private method" with "parameters", called attributes
 - Repeated invocations can use different values for the attributes without conflict

Pattern: Reuse code with <macrodef>

- Macrodefs are better than <ant> and <antcall>
 - Most uses of <antcall> can be replaced by macrodefs
 - Macrodefs aren't targets
 - Putting code into macrodefs limits visibility
 - Once you define a property, it's defined forever. This limits the ability to use the same target more than once with different property settings
 - <antcall> and <ant> tasks cab get around this, but care must be taken
 - <antcall> and <ant> tasks are slow!
 - <antcall> runs all targets again!
- Macrodefs allow easier code flow than trying to specify "depends"

Example of code reuse with <macrodef>

This is a simple example of repeating blocks of code with minor differences in structure. <target name="compile"> <javac srcdir="\${sou rce.java.dir}" classpathref="classpath.main.compile" destdir="\${compile.dir}" debug="\${compile.debug}" debugLevel="\${compile.debugLevel}" deprecation="\${compile.deprecation}" includeAntRuntime="false" optimize="\${compile.optimize}"> </javac> <javac srcdir="\${unit.test.source.dir}"</pre> classpathref="classpath.test.compile" destdir="\${compile.dir}" debug="\${compile.debug}" debugLevel="\${compile.debugLevel}" deprecation="\${compile.deprecation}" includeAntRuntime="true" optimize="\${compile.optimize}"> </javac> <javac srcdir="\${int.test.source.dir}"</pre> classpathref="classpath.test.compile" destdir="\${compile.dir}" debug="\${compile.debug}" debugLevel="\${compile.debugLevel}" deprecation="\${compile.deprecation}" includeAntRuntime="true" optimize="\${compile.optimize}"> </javac> </target>

Example of code reuse with <macrodef>

Notice that the same method is used several times with different arguments. This makes the main body of code easier to read, and avoids calling a target with <ant> or <antcall>, getting around "properties are forever" issue.

Note that the "includeant" attribute has as default of false - you don't have to include it as an argument.

<target name="compile">

<compilecode srcdir= "\${source.java.dir}" classpath="classpath.main.compile"/>
 <compilecode srcdir= "\${unit.test.source.dir}" includeant="true" classpath="classpath.test.compi
 <compilecode srcdir= "\${int.test.source.dir}" includeant="true" classpath="classpath.test.compilecode"
 </compilecode srcdir="state="classpath"
 </compilecode"
 </compilecode srcdir="state="classpath"
 </compilecode"
 </compilecode srcdir="state="state="classpath"
 </compilecode"
 </compilecod

```
<macrodef name="compilecode">
   <attribute name="srcdir"/>
   <attribute name="includeant" default="false"/>
   <attribute name="classpath"/>
   <sequential>
      <javac srcdir="@{srcdir}"</pre>
         classpathref="@{classpath}"
         destdir="${compile.dir}"
         debug="${compile.debug}"
         debugLevel="${compile.debugLevel}"
         deprecation="${compile.deprecation}"
         includeAntRuntime="@{includeant}"
         optimize="${compile.optimize}">
      </javac>
   </sequential>
</macrodef>
```

Elements let you insert whole chunks of XML

Macrodef definition:

<macrodef name="doTests">

Macrodef usage:

```
<attribute name="fork" default="no"/>
                                             <element name="whatToTest" optional="no"/>
<doTests fork="no">
                                             <sequential>
                                                 <junit
                                                         printsummary="on"
       haltonfailure="false"
                                                         haltonfailure="false"
       todir="{junit.report.dir}">
                                                         fork="@{fork}"
      <fileset dir="@{filesetDir}">
                                                         showoutput="true"
        <include nam
                                    le}"/>
                      ="@{include
                                                         failureproperty="test.failed"
        fileset>
                                                         errorproperty="test.failed">
                                                     <sysproperty key="app.root.dir" value="${app.root.dir}"/>
                                                     <sysproperty key="fromant" value="yep"/>
</doTests>
                                                     <classpath refid="runtest.classpath"/>
                                                     <formatter type="xml"/>
                                                     <formatter type="brief" usefile="false"/>
                                                     <jvmarg value="-Demma.coverage.out.file=${coverage.dir}/metadata/coverage.emma"/>
                                                     <jvmarg value="-Demma.coverage.out.merge=true"/>
                                                             est/>
                                                 </junit>
                                             </sequential>
                                       </macrodef>
```

Elements let you insert whole chunks of XML

<macrodef name="doTests">

Macrodef definition:

Macrodef usage:

```
<attribute name="fork" default="no"/>
                                                 <element name="whatToTest" optional="no"/>
<doTests fork="no">
                                                 <sequential>
                                                     <junit
                                                             printsummary="on"
          altonfailure="false"
                                                             haltonfailure="false"
             e="@{classNa
                                                             fork="@{fork}"
          todir="${junit.report.dir}"/>
                                                             showoutput="true"
                                                             failureproperty="test.failed"
</doTests
                                                             errorproperty="test.failed">
                                                         <sysproperty key="app.root.dir" value="${app.root.dir}"/>
                                                         <sysproperty key="fromant" value="yep"/>
                                                         <classpath refid="runtest.classpath"/>
                                                         <formatter type="xml"/>
                                                         <formatter type="brief" usefile="false"/>
                                                         <jvmarg value="-Demma.coverage.out.file=${coverage.dir}/metadata/coverage.emma"/>
                                                         <jvmarg value="-Demma.coverage.out.merge=true"/>
                                                                  est/>
                                                     </junit>
                                                 </sequential>
```

</macrodef>

Pattern: Chaining and discovery with <subant>

- Allows addition of new project module build files without changing master build script
 - Two variants of <subant>
 - Execute the same build file but use different base directories for each invocation use "genericantfile" attribute
 - Execute a specified list of build scripts, executing same target in each build script (takes a fileset or filelist note that order can't be specified in fileset, so use filelist if order matters)

Pattern: Chaining and discovery with <subant>

• Same build file but use different base directories

Invoking the "buildmodules" target calls the "deploy" target in the "masterbuld.xml" script using a different basedir each time. It will use as the basedir any directory that begins with "April_08" that is a subdirectory of "projects"

```
<project name="Master" default="buildModules">
    <target name="buildModules">
        <subant target="deploy" genericantfile="./masterbuild.xml">
            <dirset dir="../projects" includes="April_08*"/>
        </subant>
        </target>
    .
    .
    .
    ./project>
```

Pattern: Chaining and discovery with <subant>

• Same target, multiple build files from a fileset:

</subant

```
Invoking the "buildmodules" target
calls the "deploy" target in any
build script found in any
subdirectory of "projects/April_08"
```

<project name="Master" default="buildModules">
 <target name="buildModules">
 <subant target="deploy">
 <fileset dir="../projects/April 08" includes="**/build.xml"/>

</target>

Pattern: Ant script inheritance with "Master" build scripts

- Importing and overriding of master scripts can be done, mimicking object inheritance and overriding of behavior
- <import> can be used to import another Ant script into the current script
- Common code can be placed into the master build script
 - Project build scripts only contain unique code for that project
 - When a script is imported into another script, the importing script can override targets from the imported script

Pattern: Ant script inheritance with "Master" build scripts

- Abstract Targets
 - Targets can be referenced in the "master" script which aren't defined there
 - Must be defined in the importing script, or else Ant will fail when run
- No-op Targets
 - Empty targets defined in the "master" script which do nothing
 - May be overridden in the importing script for more functionality

Example "build-master.xml"

Note that this does not define targets clean or ivy, they must be defined by the importing file.

The target deploy is a noop target – no work will be done unless they is overridden.

init-properties defines two properties

```
<project name="master" default="deploy" >
    <target name="init" depends="clean, init-properties"/>
    <target name="init-properties">
```

```
<property name="source.dir" value="./src"/>
<property name="build.dir" value="./build"/>
</target>
```

```
<target name="compile" depends="init,ivy">

<javac srcdir="${source.dir}" destdir="${build.dir}/classes">

<classpath refid="build.classpath"/>

</javac>

</target>
```

```
<target name="jar" depends="compile">
<jar destfile="${build.dir}/lib/${jar.name}"
basedir="${build.dir}/classes"/>
</target>
```

```
<target name="deploy"/> </project>
```

Example of importing file

The master file is imported using the <import> task.

This file only needs to define the abstract targets clean, and ivy, specified in the master build file, plus any custom targets.

The init-properties and deploy targets in the master file are overriden in this example

```
<project name="Some Project" default="deploy">
    <import file="build-master.xml"/>
    <target name="init-properties">
        <property file="build.properties"/>
```

```
<property file="build.properties"/>
</target>
```

```
<target name="clean">
```

```
<ivy-resolve file="${ivy.dep.file}" transitive="true"/>
<ivy-retrieve sync="true"/>
```

```
</target>
```

```
<target name="deploy" depends="jar">
```

```
...
</target>
</project>
```

Pattern: Using <fail>

- "Build Failed" isn't very informative
- Missing expected properties don't fail build
- Provide more useful information by using <fail>

<fail unless "thisdoesnotexist" message="Missing property thisdoesnotexist"/>

Pattern: Managing library dependencies

- Build scripts don't always tell what version you're using
- Jars don't always have versioned names or manifests with the version in them
- This leads to library dependency hell when setting up projects
- Do you really have all the jars (or the right versions) needed for Hibernate? Or Spring?
- Version conflicts can cause unpredictable behavior

Pattern: Managing library dependencies

Solution: Which is more informative? Something like this...

```
<fileset dir="${global.lib.dir}">
```

```
<include name="commons-beanutils.jar"/>
<include name="commons-collections.jar"/>
<include name="commons-digester.jar"/>
<include name="commons-logging.jar"/>
<include name="commons-validator.jar"/>
<include name="commons-resources.jar"/>
<include name="jakarta-oro.jar"/>
<include name="struts.jar"/>
<include name="struts-el.jar"/>
<include name="struts-el.jar"/>
<include name="jstl.jar"/>
<include name="jstl.jar"/>
<include name="commons-pool.jar"/>
<include name="commons-pool.jar"/>
</fileset>
```

Pattern: Managing library dependencies

• Solution: Which is more informative? Or this...

<dependencies>

<dependency< th=""><th>org="org.apache"</th><th>name="log4j"</th><th>rev="1.2.8"</th><th>conf="dist-ear"/></th></dependency<>	org="org.apache"	name="log4j"	rev="1.2.8"	conf="dist-ear"/>
<dependency< td=""><td>org="org.hibernate"</td><td>name="hibernate"</td><td>rev="3.2.0.ga"</td><td><pre>conf="dist-ear,source,javadoc"/></pre></td></dependency<>	org="org.hibernate"	name="hibernate"	rev="3.2.0.ga"	<pre>conf="dist-ear,source,javadoc"/></pre>
<dependency< td=""><td>org="org.apache"</td><td>name="struts"</td><td>rev="1.3.8"</td><td><pre>conf="dist-ear,source"/></pre></td></dependency<>	org="org.apache"	name="struts"	rev="1.3.8"	<pre>conf="dist-ear,source"/></pre>
<dependency< td=""><td>org="org.apache"</td><td>name="struts-el"</td><td>rev="1.3.8"</td><td><pre>conf="dist-ear,source"/></pre></td></dependency<>	org="org.apache"	name="struts-el"	rev="1.3.8"	<pre>conf="dist-ear,source"/></pre>
<dependency< td=""><td>org="org.springframework"</td><td>name="spring"</td><td>rev="2.0"</td><td>conf="dist-ear"/></td></dependency<>	org="org.springframework"	name="spring"	rev="2.0"	conf="dist-ear"/>
ependencies>				

Pattern: Managing library dependencies

- Solution: Use a dependency manager
 - Ivy + Ant ~= Maven dependency management
 - Ivy is an Apache project
 - Jars are downloaded, cached in local repository, and your specified project library location
 - Ivy can store libraries with generic names, no versions don't need to change scripts or IDE projects when upgrading
 - Ivy can use the ibiblio and Maven2 repositories, the Ivy repository, or your own (corporate shared libraries, anyone?)
 - This gives the architect control over what library versions are available for use in projects
 - Multiple versions of libraries can be used in different projects without confusion
 - Easy distribution of libraries allows for easy packaging

Pattern: Managing library dependencies

- Ivy supports transitive dependencies
 - Ivy not only brings in your project dependencies, but any dependencies they might have as well, and the dependencies of the dependencies of the dependencies, etc
 - When you create your own shared libraries, you write an XML dependency file for the libraries, declaring its own dependencies, then whenever you use this libraries you simply declare a dependency on it.
 - Ivy produces browser-viewable dependency reports when run, and has an .XSL template for viewing Ivy config files in browser

Pattern: Managing library dependencies

More information on Ivy at <u>http://ant.apache.org/ivy/index.html</u>

Pattern: Proper location of external Ant libraries

- Tasks not native to Ant need their jars imported into Ant
 - Most people place these in the Ant/lib dir
 - Most people are wrong
- Solution: Put these into an external directory, and explicitly declaring the classpath for the task in the taskdef
- This will make upgrading to the next version of Ant much easier
 - Example:

```
<taskdef name="commit" classname="net.nike.build.ant.task.svn.SvnCommitTask">
        <classpath>
        <fileset dir="${build.lib.dir}">
            <include name="nikesvn.jar"/>
            <include name="javasvn.jar"/>
            <include name="javasvn.jar"/>
            <include name="commons-collections.jar"/>
            </fileset>
        </fileset>
        <//fileset>
        <//classpath>
        <//daskdef>
```

Antipatterns

Antipattern: Too many targets

- Do all those targets really need to be visible?
 - Solution: reduce "public" visibility
 - Use macrodefs, where it's possible to make things "private"
 - Prefacing target names with a hyphen makes them impossible to execute from the command line (i.e., "-compile-jaxb")
 - Fill out description attribute for all "public" targets
 - Include a "info" or "usage" target, with a complete list of the public targets and their documentation
 - Make "info" your default target
 - Workaround to prevent duplicate description code:

```
<target name="info" description="Shows all usable commands">
        <exec executable="cmd">
            <arg value="/c"/>
            <arg value="build"/>
            <arg value="build"/>
            <arg value="-p"/>
        </exec>
    </target>
```

Antipattern: "Spaghetti code"

- Overuse of <ant> or <antcall> makes build scripts difficult to understand
- Developers will accept stuff like this in their Ant scripts they would never accept in Java code
 - Build scripts are almost never code reviewed
- Property settings can make it difficult to determine what's really going to happen
 - The order in which targets are called may set properties differently, resulting in the same <ant> invocation doing different things
- Solution: code reviews, use macrodefs, simplify build scripts

Antipattern: Duplicate code

- Many targets have very similar code
 - Solution: <macrodef> allows reuse of code with differences
- Many projects have identical code
 - Solution: use "master" build scripts for all projects, override and extend with project-specific build scripts as needed

Antipattern: "Mystery" code

- Undocumented Ant scripts are as bad as undocumented Java code
- Solution: document it!
 - Build scripts need to be included in code reviews
 - All targets should have documentation
 - "Public" targets should have descriptions

Antipattern: "Winnebago" script

- Examination of Ant scripts often reveal very large scripts are doing many different things
- Example a single script built/deployed a J2EE application, built/ deployed batch loaders, built and jarred an applet, and created/ configured a WebLogic domain
- Solution: Break really large scripts up into smaller scripts
 - Some of these tasks were separated into a separate script each was smaller and more understandable than the original
 - By doing this, the WebLogic domain creation script can now be reused
- Solution: use "master" build scripts for all projects, override and extend with project-specific build scripts as needed

Technique: Treat build scripts like first-class components

- Design your build scripts
- KISS
- Code review your build scripts
- Keep build scripts up to date with new Ant features when they simplify your code

Technique: Write your own Ant tasks

- Ant tasks are easy to write
- Custom tasks can do things Ant can't
- Custom tasks can make your build scripts more understandable
- Complex behavior is neatly tucked into a single task
 - t-files> is an example of a custom Nike task
- A custom task should provide good documentation

Technique: Use the Ant-Contrib Tasks

- Sourceforge project to create useful Ant tasks
- <for> and <foreach> iterate over a list, or list of paths, and calls a target for each token
 - Optional ability to run executions in parallel
 - Number of max threads can be limited
 - <for> has an optional "keepgoing" attribute. If set to true, all iterations will execute, even if one fails

Technique: Use the Ant-Contrib Tasks

- <trycatch> gives control of possible failures
- <throw> lets you rethrow a caught exception
- <if> allows if/then/else/elseif format of flow
- <switch> allows execution based on the switched value
- AntPerformanceListener gives task durations in printout
 - ant -listener net.sf.antcontrib.perf.AntPerformanceListener target
- <stopwatch> allows timing of blocks of code

Technique: Use the Ant-Contrib Tasks

 Ant-Contrib site: <u>http://ant-contrib.sourceforge.net/</u> <u>tasks/tasks/index.html</u>

Technique: Build file visualization tools

- YWorks Ant Explorer <u>http://www.yworks.com/en/products_antexplorer_about.htm</u>
 - Good for viewing single scripts
 - Interactive
 - Shows property trees
 - Plugin for Eclipse, IDEA (but no IDEA 7), standalone
 - Doesn't work with multiple scripts, macrodefs, antcalls, taskdefs
- AntScriptVisualizer <u>http://www.nurflugel.com/webstart/AntScriptVisualizer/</u>
 - Good for viewing single or multiple scripts
 - Shows taskdefs, macrodefs, ant and antcalls
 - PDF, PNG, or SVG output

Putting it all together: Designing master/project build scripts

Design goals of master/app build scripts

- Put common code into a single location
- Unify the way applications are built across projects
- Simplify the application build scripts
 - Minimize the number of visible targets
 - New applications should only have to write a simple build script
 - Import the master build script
 - Create a build.properties file with the expected properties required by the master build file
 - Goal of 10 lines or less for a vanilla project
- Bridge the differences between WebLogic and ATG J2EE projects

Abstract target in a master script

master-build.xml

<?xml version="1.0"?> <project name="master" >

<target name="compile" depends="init"> <echo >Master Compile</echo> </target>

</project>

build.xml

</target>

</project>

<?xml version="1.0"?> <project name="cr" basedir=".." > <import file="master-build.xml"/>

<target name="deploy" depends="compile"/>

<target name="init">

Output

ant deploy Buildfile: build.xml

compile: [echo] Master Compile

deploy:

BUILD SUCCESSFUL

Simple inheritance of a target from a master script

master-build.xml

<?xml version="1.0"?> <project name="master" >

<target name="compile"> <echo >Master Compile</echo> </target>

</project>

build.xml

<?xml version="1.0"?> <project name="cr" basedir=".." > <import file="master-build.xml"/>

<target name="deploy" depends="compile"/>

</project>

Output

ant deploy Buildfile: build.xml

compile: [echo] Master Compile

deploy:

BUILD SUCCESSFUL

Overriding a target from a master script

master-build.xml

<?xml version="1.0"?> <project name="master" >

<target name="compile"> <echo >Master Compile</echo> </target>

</project>

build.xml

<?xml version="1.0"?>
<project name="cr" basedir="..">
<import file="master-build.xml"/>

<target name="deploy" depends="compile"/>

<target name="compile"> <echo>Child compile</echo> </target>

Output

</project>

ant deploy Buildfile: build.xml

compile: [echo] Child compile

deploy:

BUILD SUCCESSFUL

Extending a target from a master script

master-build.xml

<macrodef name="super-compile"> <sequential> <echo>Master super.compile</echo> </sequential> </macrodef>

</project>

Output

```
ant deploy
Buildfile: build.xml
```

ompile: [echo] before master compile

```
[echo] Master super.compile
[echo] after master compile
```

run:

BUILD SUCCESSFUL

Note: the child target has to honor it's parent's dependencies (init) for behavior to be as expected

build.xml

<?xml version="1.0"?>
<project name="cr" basedir="..">
<import file="master-build.xml"/>

<target name="deploy" depends="compile"/>

<target name="compile" depends="init"> <echo>before master compile</echo> <super-compile/> <echo>after master compile</echo> </target>

Validating required properties in the app script

build.xml

<?xml version="1.0"?> <project name="cr" basedir=".."> <import file="master-build.xml"/>

<property name="project.name" value="ClaimsAndReturns"/><property name="release.number" value="5.0"/>

<target name="deploy" depends="compile"/>

Validating required properties in the app script master-build.xml

<?xml version="1.0"?> <project name="master">

```
<macrodef name="validate-property">
  <attribute name="propertyName"/>
    <sequential>
        <fail message="@{propertyName} is a required property"
            unless="@{propertyName}"/>
        <echo>Validated existence of property @{propertyName}</echo>
        </sequential>
</macrodef>
```

```
<macrodef name="validate-properties">

<sequential>

<validate-property propertyName="project.name"/>

<validate-property propertyName="release.number"/>

<validate-property propertyName="required.property"/>

</sequential>

</macrodef>
```

```
<target name="init">
        <validate-properties/>
        </target>
        <target name="compile" depends="init">
            <super-compile/>
        </target>
```

Validating required properties in the app script

Output

ant deploy Buildfile: build.xml

init:

[echo] Validated existence of property project.name [echo] Validated existence of property release.number

BUILD FAILED build/master-build.xml:66: The following error occurred while executing this line: build/master-build.xml:60: The following error occurred while executing this line: build/master-build.xml:47: required.property is a required property

Designing the build script hierarchy



Issues encountered

- Property file resolution
 - Desirable for each build script to have it's own properties file
 - Ant can't do property resolution across <property> calls
 - Solution
 - Each script (master-build.xml, weblogic-master-build.xml, and the project build.xml) have their own properties file, named appropriately
 - The script we use to run Ant (sets JDK, etc). concatenates all properties files into one build.properties, which is read by all

Issues encountered

- Project directory structure
 - Currently, many projects have different directory strutures and naming conventions
 - Example: src vs. source
 - Solutions: either
 - Enforce a common directory structure and naming convention
 - Allow users to map unconventional structures via build.properties
 - Standard directory structures and naming conventions were chosen

Issues encountered

- Master build files location
 - Subversion tag was chosen
 - Projects could use with an externals
 - Allows versioned control of scripts
 - Tags can be made read-only (and should!)

Summary: Advantages of Master/App Build Scripts

- Increased productivity
- All application build scripts look the same
- New build scripts are trivial to create
- Potential for errors and bugs is greatly reduced
- More centralized control over build scripts and configuration

Examples of master and product build files